

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X  
KEVIN CUZCO,

Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND  
ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

-against-

16-CV-6014 (BMC)

The City of New York, et al.,

Defendant.  
----- X

**WHEREAS**, the parties have reached a settlement agreement and now desire to resolve the remaining issues raised in this litigation, without further proceedings and without admitting any fault or liability;

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between the undersigned, that

1. The above-referenced action is hereby dismissed with prejudice; and

~~2. Notwithstanding the dismissal of this action in accordance with this agreement, the District Court shall continue to maintain jurisdiction over this action for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the settlement agreement reached between the parties and set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement executed by the parties in this matter.~~

Dated: New York, New York  
February 22, 2017

SIEGEL TETELBAUM & EVANS LLP  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
260 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor  
New York, NY 10016

By: [Signature]  
Sharon Vicky Sprayregen  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

ZACHARY W. CARTER  
Corporation Counsel of the  
City of New York  
*Attorney for Defendant City of New York*  
100 Church Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10007

By: [Signature] 2/24/17  
Suzanne Aribakan  
*Senior Counsel*

SO ORDERED:\*

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. BRIAN M. COGAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Feb. 24, 2017

\* The Court is not going to retain jurisdiction to enforce a settlement when it does not know the terms of the agreement.